

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/606,226	06/26/2003	Hideaki Watanabe	024016-00063	3751
4372 ARENT FOX I	7590 02/02/2007 PLJ.C	EXAMINER		
1050 CONNEC	CTICUT AVENUE, N.W.	NGUYEN, HIEP		
SUITE 400 WASHINGTO	N. DC 20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
***************************************	1, 20 20000		2816	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•			02/02/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/606,226	WATANABE, HIDEAKI		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Hiep Nguyen	2816		

	Hiep Nguyen	2816	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 28 December 2006 FAILS TO PLACE TH	IS APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the foll places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complia time periods:	on the same day as filing a Notice of owing replies: (1) an amendment, af lotice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expires Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of cunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(NOTICE OF APPEAL	extension and the corresponding amount e shortened statutory period for reply orig er than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprion of the fee. The appropriate of the final Office of the fina	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in confiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ex a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be file AMENDMENTS 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of the appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (a) They raise new issues that would require further (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE be	consideration and/or search (see NC low);	TE below);	
 (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beappeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling 			the issues for
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1		jeotoa ciaims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	121. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be non-allowable claim(s). 	•	, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is purposed and the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 6-12. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,3,5 and 23.	ovided below or appended.	ill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 2, 4, and 13-22 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	•		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filir entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necess.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeary and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanat	ion of the status of the claims after o	entry is below or attack	hed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered	out does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
		Melle	
		TUANT. LAM	.co

TUANT. LAM
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly added limitations "a total count", "a total number of effective transition edges" and "... obtained by adding a new different value to the stored integrated values" in claims 1, 3, 5 and 23 required further consideration and/or search.